



# D1.3 Data Management Plan (month 24)

# Main authors:

Runrid Fox-Kämper and Kathrin Specht (ILS)

| Project Acronym and Name | FoodE – Food Systems in European Cities                  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Type of action           | IA – Innovation Action                                   |
| Grant Agreement No.      | 862663   |
| Work package             | WP1 Coordination, project management and quality control |
| Dissemination level      | Public   |
| Document type            | ORDP   |
| Lead partner             | ILS  |
| Authors                  | Runrid Fox-Kämper, Kathrin Specht                        |
| Contributors             | All partners   |
| Planned delivery date    | 31/01/2022   |
| Actual delivery date     | 24/01/2022   |
| Project website          | foode.eu   |
| Project start date       | 01/02/2020   |
| Duration                 | 48 months  |
| Version                  | 4  |
| Total number of pages    | 22   |
| Keywords                 | Data collection, metadata, keywords, storage, app        |
|                          | development, open access                                 |



# **Project consortium**

| No. | Institution | Institution Full name                         | Country |
|-----|-------------|---|---------|
|     | Short name  |   |         |
| 1   | UNIBO       | ALMA MATER STUDIORUM – UNIVERSITÀ DI          | IT      |
|     |             | BOLOGNA                                       |         |
| 2   | APT         | INSTITUT DES SCIENCES ET INDUSTRIES DU VIVANT | FR      |
|     |             | ET DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT - AGRO PARIS TECH       |         |
|     | INRAE       | INSTITUT NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE EN          |         |
|     |             | ALIMENTATION, AGRICULTURE ET ENVIRONNEMENT    |         |
| 3   | RMN         | COMMUNE DE ROMAINVILLE                        | FR      |
| 4   | SWUAS       | FACHHOCHSCHULE SUDWESTFALEN                   | DE      |
| 5   | ILS         | INSTITUT FUR LANDES- UND                      | DE      |
|     |             | STADTENTWICKLUNGSFORSCHUNG gGMBH              |         |
| 6   | FLY         | FLYTECH SRL                                   | IT      |
| 7   | NOL         | NOLDE ERWIN                                   | DE      |
| 8   | BOL         | COMUNE DI BOLOGNA                             | IT      |
| 9   | NAP         | COMUNE DI NAPOLI IT                           |         |
| 10  | UNINA       | UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II  |         |
| 11  | HCA         | HAGUE CORPORATE AFFAIRS BV                    | NL      |
| 12  | LAN         | GEMEENTE LANSINGERLAND                        | NL      |
| 14  | WR          | STICHTING WAGENINGEN RESEARCH                 | NL      |
| 16  | POL         | POLAR PERMACULTURE SOLUTIONS AS               | NO      |
| 17  | TAS         | TASEN MICROGREENS AS                          | NO      |
| 18  | MBI         | ASOCIATIA MAI BINE                            | RO      |
| 19  | ARC         | ARCTUR RACUNALNISKI INZENIRING DOO            | SI      |
| 20  | BEE         | DRUSTVO URBANI CEBELAR                        | SI      |
| 21  | SBD         | AJUNTAMENT DE SABADELL                        | ES      |
| 22  | ISL         | ORGANIZACION DE PRODUCTORES DE TUNIDOS Y      | ES      |
|     |             | PESCA FRESCA DE LA ISLA DE TENERIFE           |         |
| 23  | ULL         | UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA                      | ES      |
| 24  | UAB         | UNIVERSITAT AUTONOMA DE BARCELONA             | ES      |
| 25  | METAINST    | STICHTING METABOLIC INSTITUTE                 | NL      |
| 26  | NBL AS      | NABOLAGSHAGER AS                              | NO      |





#### Document Control Sheet

| Version | Date       | Summary of changes                            | Author(s)    |
|---------|------------|---|--------------|
| 1       | 01/12/2021 | First draft sent to all WP leaders            | ILS          |
| 2       | 15/12/2021 | Draft reviewed by WP leaders and task leaders | ILS, UNIBO,  |
|         |            |   | WP leaders   |
| 3       | 10/01/2022 | Revised draft sent to GA for review and       | All partners |
|         |            | approvement                                   |              |
| 4       | 24/01/2022 | Review finalized by GA                        | All partners |
| final   | 31/01/2022 | Final version submitted                       | ILS, UNIBO   |





# Table of content

| List of Tables  | 5  |
|---|----|
| List of Abbreviations                                       | 5  |
| 0 Scope of the Data Management Plan                         | 5  |
| 1 Data summary  | 5  |
| 1.1 Data collection purpose and relation to objectives      | 5  |
| 1.2 Type and formats of data                                |    |
| 1.3 Data re-use   |    |
| 1.4 Origin of data  |    |
| 1.4.1 Survey data   |    |
| 1.4.2 Interviews  |    |
| 1.4.3 Events and Workshops                                  |    |
| 1.4.4 FoodE App   |    |
| 1.5 Size of data  |    |
| 1.6 Data utility  |    |
| 2 FAIR Data   |    |
| 2.1 Making data findable, including provisions for metadata |    |
| 2.1.1 Findability and metadata provision                    |    |
| 2.1.2 Naming conventions                                    |    |
| 2.2 Making data open accessible                             |    |
| 2.3 Making data interoperable                               |    |
| 2.4 Increase of data re-use                                 | 20 |
| 3 Allocation of resources                                   | 20 |
| 4 Data security   | 21 |
| 5 Ethical aspects   | 21 |
| 6 Other issues  |    |





# List of Tables

- Table 1Summary of data collection purposes and type per WP tasks
- Table 2Summary of data formats
- Table 3Key projects conducted by FoodE project partners in recent years
- Table 4List of repositories used by FoodE partners (status as of M24)
- Table 5Naming conventions for files

# List of Abbreviations

| Application software               |
|------------------------------------|
| City Region Food Systems           |
| City Region Food System Initiative |
| Data Management Plan               |
| General Assembly                   |
| Geoinformation System              |
| Higher Education Institution       |
| Key Performance Indicators         |
| Intellectual Property Rights       |
| Steering Committee                 |
| Web of Science                     |
| Work Package                       |
|                                    |

# 0 Scope of the Data Management Plan

This Data Management Plan (DMP) describes how research data is managed throughout the lifetime of the project "FoodE – Food systems in European Cities Innovation Action" (Feb 2020 – Jan 2024) and after its end. It summarizes procedures and minimum requirements to organize data in a consistent way according to the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Re-usable) principles.

All data or documents produced or processed for governmental procedures are not affected by this data management plan. This plan is a working document and will be regularly updated when necessary, and all project partners will be informed about the changes made to this document.

Please note that agreements on common standards, folder structure and identifiers are updated during project steering committee (SC) meetings and internal coordination quality assessments. They will be made available via the project management tool SharePoint and in the general assembly (GA).

# 1 Data summary

## 1.1 Data collection purpose and relation to objectives

The purpose of the data collection and data generation within the FoodE project is to involve European local food initiatives in the design, implementation and monitoring of environmentally, economically and socially sustainable city/region food systems (CRFS). The key challenge of the project is to improve food and nutrition security of European citizens by shaping a





sustainable environment able to increase accessibility and availability of affordable, safe and nutritious food. This challenge will be tackled by setting up a co-creation mechanism, based on Citizen Science<sup>1</sup> and Responsible Research & Innovation principles<sup>2</sup>, where public authorities, citizens, SMEs and non-profit organisations can share ideas, tools, best practices and new models, supporting cities and regions in becoming innovative and sustainable food systems.

FoodE uses both primary data generated in the course of the project and secondary data from existing sources and also generates administrative data. Within the project, qualitative and quantitative analytical methods, such as surveys, interviews, case studies, modelling and simulation are used to generate, collect and process mainly numerical and textual primary data but also to process secondary data from already existing sources. Administrative data is generated through participatory activities and provided by stakeholders and pilots involved. In detail, data is or will be generated or processed, related to the project's objectives, tasks and purposes as explained in Table 1. For each type of data, Table 1 also indicates whether the data generation or use has been conducted already, is ongoing (e.g., for updates) or is planned.

| WP | Purpose of data collection/task  | Type of data and data source  | Software and databases used |
|----|--|---|-----------------------------|
| 1  | T1.4: Innovation<br>management. Data to<br>monitor innovations<br>generated by the project | <ul> <li>Primary data generation: <ul> <li>survey based on the Innovation Radar Initiative of the European Union, adopted to monitor innovation based on a common and consolidated monitoring framework (conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>data collected to gather information on the type of innovation, ownership, timeframe, target, and exploitation (conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>data related to intellectual property rights (IPR) collected from all project partners (conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>table developed to gather the following information: <ul> <li>Type of innovation: new concept, knowledge, methods that could be translated into new products/services, standards, etc.</li> <li>Ownership: who is responsible for the innovation and what partners are involved</li> <li>Timeframe: indicative timing of innovation development</li> <li>Target: stakeholders and audiences concerned (in line with the dissemination and exploitation strategy)</li> <li>Exploitation: valorisation routes, including ownership and IPR (ongoing)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul> | Microsoft Office            |

Table 1. Summary of data collection purposes and type per WP tasks



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://ecsa.citizen-science.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/ECSA\_Ten\_Principles\_of\_CS\_English.pdf</u>

 $<sup>^{2}\,\</sup>underline{https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/responsible-research-innovation}$ 



| 2 | T2.1 Review and inventory of innovative CRFSI                           | Primary data generation:<br>- surveys submitted to CRFSI leaders<br>(conducted)<br>Secondary data use:<br>- literature review on previous research and<br>databases for CRFSI inventory and internet<br>research for complementary information<br>(conducted)   | online survey tool<br>(ARCTUR),<br>data stored in secured<br>database on Sphinx,<br>Microsoft SharePoint,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace,<br>audio files<br>Scopus, WoS,<br>web browsers |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | T2.2 Methodological<br>framework development                            | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>participatory consultations and interviews with<br/>pilot owners and selected CRFS stakeholders<br/>on methodological framework indicators and<br/>features during cross-pollination events, other<br/>events and project meetings and individual<br/>contacts (conducted)</li> </ul>  | Microsoft Office   |
|   |   | Secondary data use:<br>- literature review on existing knowledge and<br>tools for the integrated methodology<br>development (conducted)   | Scopus, WoS,<br>Mendeley   |
|   | T2.3 Data collection and inventory                                      | <ul> <li>Primary data generation by survey:</li> <li>technical aspects by sampling;</li> <li>food supply systems and technologies data;</li> <li>social aspects;</li> <li>dietary habits, perceptions, values, and attitudes, food offer and food commercialization;</li> <li>economic and costing aspects (conducted and ongoing)</li> </ul>   | online survey tool<br>Qualtrics<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Sphinx,<br>MATLAB,<br>SPSS, RStudio,<br>EnergyPlus,<br>SimaPro, GaBi,  |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Secondary data use (by literature review) to fill the gaps in:</li> <li>safety issues and contamination risks and strategies for ensuring product quality;</li> <li>growing systems used in innovative CRFS;</li> <li>main social aspects;</li> <li>dietary habits, perceptions, values, and attitudes, on food offer and food commercialization;</li> <li>economic and costing aspects (ongoing)</li> </ul> | Scopus, WoS,<br>Mendeley, Endnote,<br>Zotero,<br>Ecoinvent, GaBi,  |
|   | T2.4 Assessment of pilots<br>and identification of best<br>performances | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>environmental, social, and costing aspects of selected pilots by interviews and field research (ongoing)</li> <li>Secondary data use to fill the gap in:</li> </ul>  | Google Workspace,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>SimaPro  |
|   |   | <ul> <li>technical, environmental, technological, and<br/>costing aspects of CRFSI by literature review<br/>(planned)</li> </ul>  | ecoinvent,<br>SimaPro, GaBi  |
|   | T2.5 Pilot decision support tool and self-monitoring                    |   | Microsoft Office   |





|   |   | No specific data collection is foreseen in this task,<br>as data from other tasks will be used to develop a<br>tool  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| 3 | T3.1.MyLocalFoodE<br>initiative creates a catalogue<br>of networking and cross-<br>pollinations initiatives in<br>CRFS, creates stakeholder   | Primary data generation:<br>- survey distributed by email and webform to<br>collect experiences and create a catalogue of<br>initiatives (conducted)   | Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace   |
|   | panels in partner cities and<br>launches the MyLocalFoodE<br>initiatives  | Secondary data use:<br>- literature/web review for the catalogue<br>(conducted)  | WoS, Google Scholar,<br>Scopus, Endnote   |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Administrative data generation:</li> <li>personal data (names, e-mail addresses, phone numbers, social media accounts, personal views and opinions) provided by stakeholders and generated from MyLocalFoodE events (conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>contact list of local and national stakeholders and of participants in MyLocalFoodE events; consent forms for taking photographs during events (conducted and ongoing for updates)</li> </ul> | Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace   |
|   | T3.2 FoodE App intends to<br>mobilise and interconnect<br>users and stakeholders. Its<br>development builds on a<br>theory-based framework<br>development for a CRFS-                   | <ul> <li>Primary data generation by</li> <li>data stemming from T3.1, T2.1, T2.2 and T2.3 (ongoing);</li> <li>from the use of the App and its environment, once this is fully functional (planned)</li> </ul>  | Qualtrics,<br>FoodE App,<br>Èdit, iOS and Android<br>Markets  |
|   | oriented App. It will be<br>regularly updated and<br>improved by evaluation of<br>App data used   | <ul> <li>Secondary data use by:</li> <li>generation through extensive literature review (conducted);</li> <li>"market research", study of existing applications (conducted)</li> </ul>   | Scopus, WoS,<br>Mendeley,<br>iOS App Store and<br>Google Play Store   |
|   |   | Administrative data generation:<br>- personal data of registered users (registered<br>users, consent-based)  | Microsoft Office  |
|   | T3.3 FoodE KidScience uses<br>data to create awareness of<br>school pupils, raise interest<br>to engage in the<br>MyLocalFoodE initiative and<br>in the FoodE e-book for<br>young minds | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>interviews and questionnaires applied to teachers (planned);</li> <li>email and forms to collect experiences (planned);</li> <li>interviews of stakeholders and experts and photography (planned)</li> </ul>  | Microsoft Office,<br>Google workspace,<br>audio files   |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>literature review, visual content from our archives, partners archives and other creative commons licensed resource (ongoing);</li> <li>extensive literature review and other WP results (ongoing);</li> <li>educational tools: photos, drawings and other infographics (ongoing)</li> </ul>   | search engines such<br>as Ecosia, online<br>databases (scientific<br>and kid literature,<br>photo databases),<br>Adobe Photoshop,<br>GIMP or similar<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace |
|   |   | Administrative data generation:<br>- list of schools (ongoing)   | Microsoft Office  |





| 4 | T4.1 FoodE "Calls for ideas"<br>An open challenge for<br>participatory co-design<br>activities will be launched<br>and selected CRFS project<br>ideas will be awarded in a<br>ceremony | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>surveys or questionnaires submitted to pilot<br/>owners about project status (planned);<br/>participatory activities and target stakeholders<br/>(conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>publishing the results from the open challenges<br/>(conducted and ongoing)</li> </ul>   | Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace<br>Microsoft Office  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  | <ul> <li>Administrative data generation:</li> <li>from pilots, relevant for internal communication (e.g., mailing list) and for public communication (e.g., address and coordinates of pilot site) (conducted and ongoing for updates);</li> <li>from participatory activities (e.g., participant list) organized at the pilot locations/cities</li> </ul>   | Microsoft Office<br>Microsoft Office   |
|   |  | (ongoing)  |  |
|   | T4.2 Executive project finalisation  | Secondary data use:<br>- from data gained in T4.1  | Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace  |
|   | T4.3 Pilot implementation  | <ul> <li>Primary data generation: <ul> <li>of scientific value (e.g., yield, resource use, whether data etc.) on field research (manual collection, measurement devices such as sensors) (planned);</li> <li>by surveys and interviews on technological upgrades in the different pilot categories (planned);</li> <li>by multimedia data on pilots and on siteactivities (photos, videos) for the public (ongoing);</li> <li>by surveys submitted to FoodE partners for collecting information on previous activities linked to and/or relevant for pilot projects (e.g., size of the activities, type of service provided, number of beneficiaries) for comparative data before and after FoodE (planned)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Standardized<br>database (TBD<br>aligned with WP2),<br>MATLAB, RStudio,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Google Workspace,<br>online survey tools<br>(TBD),<br>CRFSI' official web<br>pages, web browsers |
|   |  | Secondary data use:<br>- literature/web search and other WPs' results<br>and databases for CRFSI inventory (for<br>complementary information) (ongoing)  | Scopus, WoS,<br>Mendeley, Endnote,<br>Microsoft Office   |
|   |  | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>sets of performance indicators (based on FoodE framework) for each pilot case and results from the actual monitoring and data collection (planned)</li> </ul>   | TBD, compatible with the FoodE App   |
|   | T4.4 Participatory pilot monitoring  | <ul> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>WP2 results and literature/web search (for complementary information) (planned)</li> </ul>   | web browser,<br>Scopus, WoS)   |
| 5 | T5.1 will classify CRFS<br>business models and<br>conduct SWOT analyses  | Secondary data use:<br>- by desktop research (state-of-the-art and<br>developments on CRFS beyond FoodE:<br>scientific literature, reports, other projects)<br>(ongoing);  | Microsoft Office,<br>WoS, Scopus,<br>web browsers  |





|   | <ul> <li>T5.2 Definition of simplified dataset of indicators used for the online survey tool and certification standard</li> <li>T5.3 Development of the online survey tool on the FoodE App for different user groups</li> <li>T5.4 The FoodE label serves as a standard certification scheme for CRFS</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>data from T2.1 review and inventory (planned);</li> <li>data from WP4 pilot implementation (planned)</li> <li>Secondary data use: <ul> <li>literature review (planned);</li> <li>data from T2.4 CRFSI` sustainability assessment, T2.5 Pilot decision support tool and self-monitoring and T.4.3 pilot implementation (planned)</li> </ul> </li> <li>data from T2.5 Pilot decision support tool and self-monitoring (planned)</li> <li>data from T2.5 Pilot decision support tool and self-monitoring (planned)</li> <li>depending on T.3.2 FoodE App development (planned)</li> </ul> Secondary data use: <ul> <li>data on T2.4 CRFSI' sustainability assessment (planned);</li> <li>data from T4.3 and T.5.2 (simplified dataset of indicators) (planned);</li> <li>state-of-the-art literature review on labels (planned)</li> </ul> | Microsoft Office,<br>WoS, Scopus<br>Microsoft Office,<br>FoodE App,<br>Èdit<br>FoodE App,<br>CO20ZWaste,<br>LCA database, GaBi,<br>ecoinvent,<br>SimaPro      |
|---|--|--|---|
| 6 | T6.1 will analyse EU and<br>national framework<br>conditions and policies and<br>identify constraints and<br>challenges<br>T6.2 will analyse the roles<br>and relationship of different  | <ul> <li>Primary data generation: <ul> <li>collection of publicly available policy and planning documents by online survey (planned)</li> <li>qualitative interviews for content analysis (planned)</li> <li>workshops with stakeholders (planned)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Secondary data use: <ul> <li>analysis of publicly available policy and planning documents and literature (planned)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Primary data generation: <ul> <li>additional interviews and observations in case study of the planned interviews</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Google Workspace,<br>online survey tool<br>(TBD)<br>audio files, MaxQDA,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Mentimeter<br>WoS, Scopus,<br>Zotero<br>audio files, MaxQDA, |
|   | T6.3 Framework develop-  | <ul> <li>study cities (planned);</li> <li>workshops for participatory network analysis (planned)</li> <li>Secondary data use: <ul> <li>data from T4.3, T6.1 and T7.2.1 (planned)</li> <li>Visualisation of actors' networks (planned)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Secondary data use: <ul> <li>data from T.2.5 T4.3 T6.1 and T6.2 will be used</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | Microsoft Office,<br>interview-based<br>mapping tool (Net-<br>Map)<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Software for creating<br>visual maps (e.g.,<br>InDesign)           |
|   | T.6.4 Development of scenarios for upscaling and of a guidebook  | <ul> <li>Gata from 1.2.5, 14.3, 10.1 and 16.2 will be used<br/>to identify factors of success or failure<br/>(planned)</li> <li>Secondary data use:         <ul> <li>data from T6.1, T6.2 and T6.3 will be used for<br/>upscaling and recommendations (planned)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | Microsoft Office<br>Microsoft Office<br>Miro<br>Software for creating<br>visual maps (e.g.,<br>InDesign)  |





| 7 | 7.1 Planning and<br>coordination of internal<br>communication and<br>dissemination activities<br>including visual identity,<br>dissemination and<br>communication strategy,<br>website        | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>visuals and texts designed by HCA and all FoodE partners (ongoing);</li> <li>website technology developed by HCA, and be provided for external users, no sensitive personal data will be included within website (ongoing)</li> </ul>  | Illustrator,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>video recording<br>software iMovie,<br>WordPress                      |
|---|---|---|--|
|   |   | <ul> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>images and photos be provided by partners and<br/>collected from free-to-use sources on the<br/>internet (ongoing)</li> </ul>   | free photo<br>repositories (Pixabay,<br>Pexels, Unsplash, etc.)  |
|   | T7.2 The visibility and<br>participation strategy<br>generates strategic texts<br>and visuals. It builds on<br>stakeholder mapping and<br>analysis, press communi-<br>cation and social media | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>visuals and social media posts, news items,<br/>events descriptions, newsletters, press<br/>releases designed by HCA based on desk<br/>research and inputs provided by partners<br/>(ongoing)</li> </ul>   | Illustrator,<br>Photoshop,<br>Microsoft Office,<br>Canva   |
|   | activities, assures a long-<br>term run of the<br>MyLocalFoodE and launch<br>the final event  | <ul> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>images and photos provided by partners and<br/>collected from free-to-use sources on the<br/>internet (ongoing)</li> </ul>  | Free photo<br>repositories (Pixabay,<br>Pexels, Unsplash etc.)   |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Administrative data:</li> <li>personal data (names, e-mail addresses, phone numbers, social media accounts, personal views and opinions) from <ul> <li>Stakeholders;</li> <li>participants in MyLocalFoodE initiative events;</li> <li>organisations from the inventory of innovative CRFS (T2.1);</li> <li>participants in the final event (ongoing)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Google Workspace,<br><b>s</b> ocial media account,<br>Microsoft Office                                     |
|   | T7.3 Exploitation strategy<br>generates strategic texts<br>and visuals, collects contact<br>details and information from<br>stakeholders  | <ul> <li>Primary data generation:</li> <li>visuals and texts designed by HCA (ongoing)</li> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>images and photos provided by partners and collected from free-to-use sources on the internet (ongoing)</li> </ul>   | Illustrator,<br>Microsoft Word,<br>Canva<br>Free photo<br>repositories (Pixabay,<br>Pexels, Unsplash etc.) |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Administrative data:</li> <li>personal data (names, e-mail addresses, phone numbers, social media accounts, personal views and opinions) provided by stakeholders (ongoing)</li> </ul>   | Microsoft Office   |
|   | T7.4 Practice abstracts will generate strategic texts and visuals   | Primary data generation:<br>- visuals and texts designed by HCA (ongoing)   | Illustrator,<br>Microsoft Word   |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Secondary data use:</li> <li>images and photos provided by partners and<br/>collected from free-to-use sources on the<br/>internet (ongoing)</li> </ul>  | Free photo<br>repositories (Pixabay,<br>Pexels, Unsplash etc.)   |
| 8 | Ethic requirements  | No data used or generated   | -  |





## 1.2 Type and formats of data

FoodE generates primary quantitative as well as qualitative data, re-uses secondary data and organizes administrative data. The preferred data file format is a commonly accepted format (Table 2). Export or conversion to standard file formats should be aimed at.

| Type of data                 | Formats used   | Formats for sharing, re-use and preservation  |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Numerical or textual<br>data | Microsoft Office<br>(.xls/.xlsx; .doc/.docx;<br>.ppt/.pptx)<br>googledocs etc. | numerical: comma-separated values (.csv)<br>Rich Text Format or text (.rtf/.txt)<br>document with fixed formatting (.pdf)<br>Google Workspace formats |
| Video data                   | mp4 format (.mp4)  | format for videos   |
| Visual data                  | jpeg, .png, .svg, .tiff, gif,<br>RAW (nef, orf or similar)                     | photo material (.jpeg, .png, .svg, .tiff, gif, RAW (nef, orf, or similar))  |
| Audio data                   | mp3 format (.mp3)  | audio recordings will be deleted after their transcription<br>and only the processed transcripts will be shared and<br>preserved                      |
| Statistical data             | Stata format (.dta)  | comma-separated values (.csv); Stata format (.dta); R<br>scripts (.R)   |
| Modelling data               | MATLAB (.mat)  | numerical: comma-separated values (.csv)<br>Rich Text Format or text (.rtf/.txt)  |

Table 2. Summary of data formats

Documentation files explaining all relevant details regarding data collection, processing methodologies and quality assurance are and will be deposited in institutional or public repositories along with the data sets in .odt, .rtf, .xls, .doc or .pdf formats. Spatial (GIS) data, and any non-standard file formats, will be stored together with the information about the appropriate software to guarantee long-term data access.

#### 1.3 Data re-use

The FoodE project capitalises on efforts to integrate existing knowledge and therefore re-uses existing data for stocktaking. Existing data is used where appropriate as part of state-of-the art analysis referring to all relevant thematic contexts of CRFS, e.g., business models, social inclusion, urban regeneration, community building, ecosystem services and sustainability assessment. The backbone of FoodE's objectives originates from key projects and initiatives conducted by the project partners in recent years and on which the project can built (see Table 3).

| Title           | Timeline    | Focus                                       |
|-----------------|-------------|---|
| EUPHOROS        | 2008 - 2012 | Environmental impact of greenhouses         |
| Ecotech Sudoe   | 2011 - 2013 | Social and Environmental LCA                |
| FertileCity     | 2014 - 2019 | Integrated rooftop greenhouses              |
| UrbanGreenTrain | 2015 - 2017 | Urban agriculture training curriculum       |
| SUSTURBANFOOD   | 2016 - 2018 | Urban food systems methodological framework |
| Groof           | 2017 - 2021 | Integrated rooftop greenhouses              |
| FEW-meter       | 2018 - 2021 | Circular urban metabolism                   |
| Newbie          | 2018 - 2021 | Innovative business models                  |
| VALUMICS        | 2018 - 2021 | Sustainable food supply chain drivers       |

Table 3: Key projects conducted by FoodE project partners in recent years





## 1.4 Origin of data

Various types of datasets are generated in FoodE (see Table 1). Besides data collected from existing quantitative databases (secondary data), the generated primary data originates from social-empirical research, such as surveys, interviews or events. Further data will be generated by conducting experiments as well as assessments of innovative CRFSI and use of the FoodE App.

#### 1.4.1 Survey data

Various surveys have been conducted and are planned in order to collect information on innovative CRFS, stakeholder mapping and governance analysis. In July 2020, a first survey was prepared to collect data from more than 600+ potentially innovative CRFSI and initiatives all over Europe (WP2, task 2.1) by means of an online questionnaire. Questions addressed besides personal information, the type, main activities, size of the initiative, its relations to other key partners, its impact and the impact of the COVID-19 crisis.

In July 2021, a second survey was designed to collect data for the simplified sustainability assessment of 100+ CRFSI all over Europe (WP2, Task 2.2, 2.3) by means of an online questionnaire. Other than details on name and location of the CRFSI, questions addressed characteristics related to job creation & quality, community outreach, engagement & education, food quality and safety, cost & profitability, market potential and customer profile, environmental details concerning primary production, resource use efficiency, waste management and transport.

Surveys have also been used to involve all FoodE stakeholders in the App development process. A description on these can be found in Deliverable D3.7. For example, online questionnaires were used to collect information on the planning and type of co-design activities organized at the 15 CRFS pilot projects (WP4, task 4.1) and to create a catalogue of local initiatives (WP3, task 3.1). Questions addressed the type, description and number of activities, type and number of participants, proof of participation, and main outcomes. The collected information can be found in Deliverable D4.1, D4.2 (concluded) and D3.6 (planned).

Other surveys will follow in the project, utilizing a range of response types include numerical information, predetermined choice options, text, etc. For example, WP4 will make use of questionnaires to regularly monitor (e.g., on a 3-to-6-month basis) pilots' progress against predefined targets. The information collected depends on the pilots' initiatives and will cover, for example, the number of vulnerable people involved, number of citizens/farmers/schools involved, number of training and educational activities organized, volume of food production, etc. This will allow progress to be tracked over time and ensure that goals are met at the end of FoodE.

#### 1.4.2 Interviews

Various consortium project partners will conduct qualitative face-to-face interviews, in person, by phone or video connections. Interviews will be conducted e.g., in WP 6 with policy-makers and other stakeholders to identify supportive and hindering policies for sustainable CRFS.

Most interviews will be of semi-structured nature. Interviewees will be informed in advance (via email, phone or face to face) about the purpose and context of the interviews, and are requested to sign and return an informed consent form (scanned pdf) or to express consent orally in the





recording of the interview. The transcripts of the qualitative interviews will be sent to the interviewees for comments and approval upon request.

Neither the recordings nor the individual interview summary as interview datasets are public research material, but may be made accessible in case of later needs, e.g., for academic peer review purposes in an anonymized format. Synthesis of clusters of interviews can be considered for publication in scientific articles but also in policy, business and management briefs. The basic set of questions for interviews may be published as well. Synthesizing interpretations of the interviews are presented in various WP deliverables.

#### 1.4.3 Events and Workshops

Within WP3, a series of dissemination and awareness creation events has been and will be conducted (MyLocalFoodE Initiatives). Open-science events organized by HEIs, roundtables involving CRFS managers and NGOs, initiatives devoted to young minds and organized by school pupils have been and will be organized. Partly, and due to COVID-19 crisis, these events have been held online, and have been recorded upon consent of the attendants. Programme, activities and summaries are eligible for publication on the website and submission of copies to third parties.

Data collected from dissemination activities involving school pupils is used to support research activities in awareness creation for young people. Data is used in public events, among MyLocalFoodE initiatives, with the purposes to: i) return feedback of the in-class experience to the involved kids and their families; ii) engage school pupils in presenting sustainable CRFS concepts; and iii) develop (e.g., within hackathons) innovative strategies for developing innovative CRFS in their regions. Awareness creation activities for school pupils will be included in the European Guidebook to Sustainable CRFS.

Within WP4, e.g., various types of online or on-site events and activities (including workshops, discussion groups, student projects and student competitions) have already been organized by CRFS pilot initiatives to involve citizens and other relevant stakeholders in the co-design and co-creation of their pilot projects. A description of these events and activities can be found in Deliverable D4.1. The information collected are used to newly design pilot projects or improve and integrate already existing projects with innovative food production systems, technologies, business models, social innovations.

Workshops also have been organized to involve all FoodE stakeholders in the App development process. A description on these events can be found in Deliverable D3.7.

#### 1.4.4 FoodE App

The FoodE App is a core element of the project. By actively participating in the development of the FoodE App, users will not only become aware of local initiatives, but also engage in the cross-evaluation of existing CRFSI, therein accessing benefits and services provided by CRFSI catalogued in the database. The App will continuously evolve during the project, collecting inputs from both the pilot implementation (WP4) and the indicators' identification and validation phase (WP2 and WP5). Additionally, the FoodE App is linked to the exploitation strategy and exploitation plan to develop the App considering all CRFS stakeholders (WP7).

During the project, participants' data (both from initiatives and regular users) is collected in the FoodE App after an acceptance of an informed consent. The data collection through the App and its processing and use has been validated by the Data Protection Delegate and the Ethical





Committee from UAB following the regular institutional procedures. Data collected will be used for two main purposes:

- first, data will be used for reports, articles, infographics with research purposes linked to the FoodE project;
- second, data will be used for the App itself to power a strong network of initiatives involved in the CRFS. This data will allow the App to calculate the sustainability performance of the initiatives and other Key Performance Indicators (KPIs).

Other objectives of the data gathering through the App are: dissemination of information, promotion of CRFSI products and services, promotions of events at the CRFSI level, engage in environmentally-oriented loyalty programme, engage in promoting special benefits and awards and to share FoodE research findings.

## 1.5 Size of data

The expected size of data is estimated to not exceed 50 GB. This does not include the size of data of the App which still cannot be calculated at this stage.

## 1.6 Data utility

The generated data produced can be relevant for different user groups. They include practitioners (management, policy, stakeholders) who may benefit from data generated on how to improve interactions within actors of the food chain, for empowering local communities and for cities interested to become sustainable food-hubs.

The scientific community may benefit from data generated to develop and test method for assessing the sustainability of CRFS: The data could also be used as a source for further studies, comparisons and for different analyses e.g., in other spatial contexts in Europe and beyond.

# 2 FAIR Data

This DMP follows the EU guidelines<sup>3</sup> and describes the data management procedures according to the FAIR principles. The acronym FAIR identifies the main features that the project research data must have in order to be **f**indable, **a**ccessible, **i**nteroperable and **r**e-useable, allowing thus for maximum knowledge circulation and return of investment.

## 2.1 Making data findable, including provisions for metadata

Research data in FoodE is organized in a transparent and standardized way in order to make it findable over a long period of time. Minimal agreements for file formatting assure meaningful data storage.

## 2.1.1 Findability and metadata provision

Data collected/generated by FoodE, either as intermediate or final product such as deliverables, reports, factsheets, guidelines for training etc., is made available to all project partners for practical use within the project. For that reason, the data management system 4PM provided by ARCTUR had been used until the exit of ARCTUR from the project by end of July 2021. Since then, all information collected in FoodE by the consortium partners as part of WPs and task



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Guidelines on FAIR Data Management in Horizon 2020 (Version 3.0, 26 July 2016),

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants\_manual/hi/oa\_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt\_en.pdf$ 



activities migrated to SharePoint, a web application by Microsoft, which serves as a central mean for internal data storage and information exchange among all consortium partners. This platform is regularly updated, and the data quality is controlled by the coordination team from UNIBO, with the overview from the steering committee (SC). SharePoint is password protected, accessible only by assigned project partners. It will be used through the whole project's lifetime. After the project ends, data will be archived for at least one additional year.

If there are no objections from the point of view of the grant agreement and the consortium agreement, and if the considered data is not subject to sharing limitations due to EU regulations, collected and processed data is supposed to be made available as open access for third parties beyond the consortium through data publications and will be advertised and linked via the FoodE website <u>www.foode.eu</u> and other means of dissemination in social media. An exception can be made for those data of which a majority of the consortium is of the opinion that there is no value added for third parties or if the data generator is not interested in facilitating access to the broader public.

To make availability meaningful for third parties the information should be easy to find and identify. At the moment of publication of project results, each research team will deposit and describe the relating underlying data set(s) in institutional or public data repositories that can attribute persistent unique identifiers to the deposited item. Partners are strongly recommended to use the persistent unique identifiers (DOI or Handle<sup>4</sup>) to cite the data sets as underlying data within their research publications.

Table 4 provides an overview on the institutional repositories that have be used so far for depositing publications and data. As a general rule, Zenodo<sup>5</sup> will be used for open dissemination and preservation of research data by all research teams that do not have suitable institutional, national, or disciplinary data repositories.

| Partner | Repository  |
|---------|---|
| UNIBO   | AMS-Acta ( <u>http://amsacta.unibo.it/</u> ) for internal publications<br>CRIS ( <u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u> ) for scientific publications  |
| INRAE   | HAL (https://hal.inrae.fr/)   |
| UAB     | DDD (https://ddd.uab.cat/)  |
| WUR     | Research output of Wageningen University & Research staff ( <u>https://library.wur.nl/</u> )<br>for scientific publications<br>Zenodo ( <u>https://www.zenodo.org</u> )for data depositions |

Table 4: List of repositories used by FoodE partners (status as of M24)

For data that cannot be shared, meta-information will be made available for third parties, as much as possible in compliance with the OpenAIRE 3.0 requirements for data archives<sup>6</sup>. As a consequence, the project data sets will be visible through the OpenAIRE portal<sup>7</sup>, facilitating project reporting procedures. Likely, standards are applied similar to e.g. The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set (ISO Standard 15836) as a basic standard which is widely applied, well understood and implemented<sup>8</sup>.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>https://www.doi.org/factsheets/DOIHandle.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Zenodo, <u>https://www.zenodo.org</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> OpenAIRE Guidelines for Data Archives <u>https://guidelines.openaire.eu/en/latest/data/index.html</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> OpenAIRE <u>https://www.openaire.eu/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Dublin Core Metadata Initiative <u>http://dublincore.org/</u>



The provided metadata will include the following variables:

- Original purpose, project WP/task
- Data type (qualitative or quantitative; primary or secondary)
- Data collection method
- Data analysis method
- Creation date/period
- Creator (name, email)
- Owner(s) (organisation(s) + prime contact)
- Data product
- Author of data product
- Kind of quality check (e.g., none, peer-reviewed)
- Level of openness; allowed types of re-use (incl. licenses)
- Link to the content of work packages.

Specific keywords derived, when possible, from Thesaurus<sup>9</sup> and controlled vocabularies will be associated to each data set to enhance semantic discoverability.

#### 2.1.2 Naming conventions

All FoodE documents should be provided with a unique filename to ensure effective version control and data storage. Naming conventions so far are proposed for the following items. (Table 5).

| Document status                      | File name  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Meeting agendas and minutes          |  |  |  |
| Draft                                | FoodE_WP <wpno.>_<type>_<date meeting="" of="">_<agenda minutes="" or="">_V<version no.=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_WP1_kickoff_20200213_Agenda_V3</version></agenda></date></type></wpno.> |  |  |
| Final                                | FoodE_WP <wpno.>_<type>_<date meeting="" of="">_<agenda minutes<br="" or="">e.g. FoodE_WP1_kickoff_20200213_Agenda</agenda></date></type></wpno.>                                  |  |  |
| Deliverables, Milestones and Reports |  |  |  |
| Draft                                | FoodE_WP <wpno.>_<del. mil.="" or="" rep.no.="">_<yymmdd>_V<version no.=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_WP1_D1.6_200310_V1</version></yymmdd></del.></wpno.>                                    |  |  |
| Final                                | FoodE_WP <wpno.>_<del. mil.="" or="" rep.no.="">_<resp. beneficiary=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_WP1_D1.6_ILS</resp.></del.></wpno.>   |  |  |
| Presentations                        |  |  |  |
| Draft                                | FoodE_ <conf. title="">_<authors>_<yymmdd>_V<version no.=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_SURE2020_Specht_etal_200515_V1</version></yymmdd></authors></conf.>                                    |  |  |
| Final                                | FoodE_ <conf. title="">_<authors>_<date conf.="" of=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_SURE2020_Specht_etal_200706</date></authors></conf.>  |  |  |
| Conference and Journal Papers        |  |  |  |
| Draft                                | FoodE_ <journ. conf.="" or="" title="">_<authors>_<yymmdd>_V<version no.=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_Sustain_Specht_etal_20200515_V1</version></yymmdd></authors></journ.>                  |  |  |
| Final                                | FoodE_ <journ. conf.="" or="" title="">_<ilssue conf.="" date="" or="">_<authors><br/>e.g. FoodE_Sustain_15_Specht_etal</authors></ilssue></journ.>                                |  |  |
| Images                               |  |  |  |
| Photos                               | FoodE_ <location>_<yymmdd>_<author>_<copyright free="" or="" restricted=""><br/>e.g. FoodE_Bologna_191020_Specht_restricted</copyright></author></yymmdd></location>               |  |  |
| Others (diagrams,<br>etc.)           | FoodE_ <title>_<authors>_copyright restricted or free<br/>e.g. FoodE_GattChartDeliverables_Orsini_free</authors></title>   |  |  |

Table 5: Naming conventions for files

These naming conventions are obligatory for any data that is stored in the SharePoint and in repositories. It is advisable to generally follow the proposed naming conventions for any data created in FoodE. For the storage of data within the SharePoint sections for each WP are



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>https://www.thesaurus.com/</u>



provided. Subfolders are named according to the WP tasks. All contributors to the FoodE project have been instructed in benefitting as much as possible from the SharePoint.

#### 2.2 Making data open accessible

In general, all data is accessible by all consortium partners via the password protected SharePoint web application by Microsoft. Partners can access all areas of WPs in which they are involved.

As a guiding principle, FoodE seeks to make research data openly available, whenever possible, in order to allow dissemination, validation and re-use of research results. To achieve this, FoodE will follow the "Guidelines to the Rules on Open Access to Scientific Publications and Open Access to Research Data in Horizon 2020" to adhere to the rules on open access to scientific peer reviewed publications and research data that will be generated along the project<sup>10</sup>. For this purpose, all files will be converted to standard and well-documented open formats and the data sets will be deposited together with all relevant documentation and explanation.

Restrictions on data access or impossibility to share them will be considered only in the following cases:

- collected data belonging to third party which have denied permission for sharing them on account of confidentiality and proprietary issues;
- protection of personal data of key informants involved in surveys, events, interviews, and case studies;
- when availability of the data would mean that the project's main aim might not be achieved (in this case reasons will be explained in the meta data description).

As a consequence, all possible and legitimate actions and strategies will be adopted to allow data sharing including:

- converting the files to standard open formats;
- providing all relevant documentation and explanation for the data and the data sets;
- obtaining the consent of stakeholders involved in events or interviews for using anonymized and aggregated data of statements or interviews;
- obtaining copyright permissions from third party data owners to be allowed to re-use, reproduce and distribute the collected data;
- in case of copyright on raw data derived, collected or elaborated from pre-existing databases or from other original sources (i.e. papers, journal articles, book chapters, reports, video and audio sources), collected data will be made available if the reproduction and sharing are allowed by expressed permission of the right holders or by applicable copyright exceptions and exemptions.
- Specifically, reproductions and communication of brief excerpts of texts and of other protected works are permitted for illustration purposes for scientific research, provided that the source, including the author's name, is acknowledged and provided that the use does not conflict with the exploitation of the original source and does not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of right holders. Otherwise, only aggregate data resulting from the analysis will be openly published.
- When the sources are freely available on-line in their original repositories, but direct reproduction is not allowed, a detailed account on how the data set was created from the original data will be provided, together with the specification of open repositories from



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants\_manual/hi/oa\_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-pilot-guide\_en.pdf</u>



where the original data sets are available. Raw data consisting in full-texts will not be made available without copyright holders permission.

Final products as specified in the GA (such as policy/business briefs, handbooks, journal articles etc.) are accessible publically via the webpage. Information planned to be made accessible for third parties will be reviewed with respect to data quality and data protection regulation prior to its submission to facilities/third parties and will be anonymized following the principles of research integrity, the responsible conduct guidelines of the partner organizations, and the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (ALLEA 2017<sup>11</sup>).

Each different data set is deposited by the team that is responsible for the data collection and management in the repository of their choice (see Table 4). It is required that chosen repositories are indexed in OpenAire<sup>12</sup> or listed in the Registry of Research Data Repositories.<sup>13</sup> As a general rule, Zenodo<sup>14</sup> will be used for open dissemination and preservation of research data by all research teams that do not have suitable institutional, national, or disciplinary data repositories.

To facilitate intelligibility and reuse, the data sets will be deposited in the data repositories along with all relevant documentation explaining data collection procedures and analysis.

In general, there will be no need to use specific software to access project data, since researchers will convert the data into open formats. In case particular software is used in data processing, full explanation and instructions will be included in the deposited documentation (tools and software necessary to reuse of data sets are described in 1.2 and Table 2).

All data containing personal or sensible data will be shared only in anonymized form and in line with Deliverables 8.1 und 8.2. In case of specific requests of access to restricted data by single researchers, research institutions, reviewers and committee, aimed for example at verifying the quality of the research results and at reproducing them, UNIBO will act as contact point and will evaluate each request consulting the Partner(s) that produced the requested data.

## 2.3 Making data interoperable

FoodE will use common data formats as described in section 1.2 and 2.2. All data sets will be described using standard descriptive metadata, as described in section 2.1 and DataCite Metadata Schema<sup>15</sup> in order to ensure metadata interoperability for indexing and discoverability. All relevant documentation explaining codebooks, users' manuals, data collection procedures and analysis will be made available along with the data in order to guarantee intelligibility, reproducibility and the validation of the project findings.

Categories regarding official data relative to EU funded projects included in Annex II of commission regulation (EC) No 1828/200611<sup>16</sup> will be used to name the variables analysed within the project. In the same way variable names of data derived from other official sources, such as Eurostat, will be consistent with the original source names. Variable names of data derived from surveys will match the survey question items as closely as possible.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> <u>https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/horizon/guidance/european-code-of-conduct-for-research-integrity\_horizon\_en.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> OpenAIRE, <u>https://www.openaire.eu/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Re3data, <u>https://www.re3data.org</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Zenodo, <u>https://www.zenodo.org</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> https://schema.datacite.org/meta/kernel-4.0/doc/DataCite-MetadataKernel\_v4.0.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02006R1828-20111201</u>



## 2.4 Increase of data re-use

FoodE supports the European 2020 strategy in boosting and enabling innovations at European scale. Through their consortium organisations and individual members, the partners have close links to related national and international projects, policy and innovation initiatives carried out by various partners within the project consortium.

In case FoodE data is reused in another project or an operational group, a link should be provided from the original project folder to the new project. As a principle, datasets should never be duplicated and/or moved to a different project without reference to the FoodE project. In case of duplicating datasets for reanalysis or new visualizations within other projects the original dataset is conserved in a folder including date stamp. The duplicate is saved in a new folder including date stamp and reason for duplication.

Files to be made accessible for third parties will be declared under Creative Commons Licensing<sup>17</sup> system, the recommended options are:

- Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0)
- Attribute 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

CC BY 4.0 license permits users to freely share, modify, and use the data, subject only to full credit to the author(s). As an exception, CC BY NC 4.0, which requires full credit but limits reuse for commercial purposes, will be chosen when the data is collected from pre-existing sources that limit their free reuse (for example, when exception for illustration for scientific research is applicable, the reproduction of short excerpts will be possible only for not-commercial purposes).

# 3 Allocation of resources

Responsible for data management are the data set creators who are generally the leaders of the WPs directly involved in the data generation and collection. All project partners have to be aware that making data FAIR requires a certain share of person-months. This includes e.g., the conversion of data files into open source formats, gaining permission for re-using data from other contexts, and deposit procedures. All WPs in which data is created or used are involved in this task and have to contribute to FAIR data management as part of the allocated budget.

The costs for implementing and running the data management on SharePoint platform up to one year after the termination of the project are included in the budget of UNIBO.

On partner level, all FoodE partners who intend to publish scientific papers have allocated costs in their budgets for open access publications. Costs for setting up and maintaining the website Foode.eu at least three years after the termination of the project were covered in the budget of ARC and have been transferred to HCA that now maintains the website after the exit of ARC.

There will be no costs for depositing public shareable data as the chosen repositories do not apply fees for data storage.

The costs for coordinating the data generation and use by the partners, for preparing and updating the DMP, and for providing guidance on data management and open access issues, account for 5 person-months for the whole duration of the project and are included in the budget of ILS.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup><u>https://creativecommons.org/about/cclicenses/</u>



## 4 Data security

The SharePoint web application for internal data sharing and knowledge exchange is passwordprotected as here sensitive data such as minutes from internal meetings etc. are stored for internal transparency.

Backup procedures are implemented and controlled by the coordinator (UNIBO). At each institution, research data will be stored in computers, laptops, intranets or hard-drives accessible through institutional password periodically modified according to national law provisions for data security and protected by regularly updated antiviruses. None of the project data will be left inadvertently available.

Long term preservation of public data is ensured by the chosen data repositories that have specific preservation policies. Zenodo policy e.g. ensures that the items will be retained for the lifetime of the repository and in case of closure, best efforts will be made to integrate all content into suitable alternative institutional and/or subject based repositories.

# 5 Ethical aspects

Each partner participating in the project, fully complies with the principles and standards sanctioned by the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) which provides a common legal framework for all EU Member states and sets guidelines for the collection and processing of personal information of individuals within the European Union (Regulation 2016/679 EU).

All FoodE activities are following the guidelines from the German Research Foundation<sup>18</sup>. This includes that all authors of publications agree on the sequence of authorship, acknowledging that authorship itself is based on a significant contribution to the design of the research, relevant data collection, or the analysis or interpretation of the results.

Further all FoodE partners follow the responsible conduct guidelines of their organizations as described in detail in D8.2 - Protection of personnel data. D8.2 contains detailed information on the procedures that are implemented in each partner organization for data collection, storage, protection, retention, and destruction in compliance with national and EU legislation. Deliverables D8.1 – Humans details the procedures and criteria that will be used to identify and recruit research participants, as well as it contains information on the informed consent procedures that will be implemented for the participants will be informed that participation is voluntary, that consent can be refused and that withdrawal is possible at any time.

Data collected for analyses will be recorded and anonymised so that individual identification of participants is not possible, securing data protection and privacy. Contact information for actors willing to share their experiences will only be made available when stakeholders explicitly agree to it. To secure the rights and acknowledge the contributions of participants, all participants in the innovation action haven been and will be invited to provide feedback on participatory events, and provide summaries on the project outcomes. Those participants who contribute substantially, e.g., by describing innovations in detail, will be directly acknowledge at their consent.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>https://www.dfg.de/download/pdf/foerderung/rechtliche\_rahmenbedingungen/gute\_wissenschaftliche\_praxis/kodex\_gwp\_en. pdf



The project SC will raise the question of ethical issues including data collection/generation on every meeting of the consortium in order to keep the awareness of their sensitivity among all partners, in particular when it comes to assessment approaches and initiatives in which school kids will be involved.

## 6 Other issues

In order to ensure guidelines for selection, quality assurance and data protection, all project partners are informed about the details of this Data Management Plan. In addition, the Coordinator and the SC will oversee the adequate implementation of the open data availability via the website and other means.

